N-myc Downstream-Regulated Gene 1 Is Mutated in Hereditary Motor and Sensory Neuropathy–Lom
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Hereditary motor and sensory neuropathies, to which Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT) disease belongs, are a common cause of disability in adulthood. Growing awareness that axonal loss, rather than demyelination per se, is responsible for the neurological deficit in demyelinating CMT disease has focused research on the mechanisms of early development, cell differentiation, and cell-cell interactions in the peripheral nervous system. Autosomal recessive peripheral neuropathies are relatively rare but are clinically more severe than autosomal dominant forms of CMT, and understanding their molecular basis may provide a new perspective on these mechanisms. Here we report the identification of the gene responsible for hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy–Lom (HMSNL). HMSNL shows features of Schwann-cell dysfunction and a concomitant early axonal involvement, suggesting that impaired axon-glial interactions play a major role in its pathogenesis. The gene was previously mapped to 8q24.3, where conserved disease haplotypes suggested genetic homogeneity and a single founder mutation. We have reduced the HMSNL interval to 200 kb and have characterized it by means of large-scale genomic sequencing. Sequence analysis of two genes located in the critical region identified the founder HMSNL mutation: a premature-termination codon at position 148 of the N-myc downstream-regulated gene 1 (NDRG1). NDRG1 is ubiquitously expressed and has been proposed to play a role in growth arrest and cell differentiation, possibly as a signaling protein shuttling between the cytoplasm and the nucleus. We have studied expression in peripheral nerve and have detected particularly high levels in the Schwann cell. Taken together, these findings point to NDRG1 having a role in the peripheral nervous system, possibly in the Schwann-cell signaling necessary for axonal survival.

Introduction

Hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy–Lom (HMSNL [MIM 601455]), which is an autosomal recessive form of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease, occurs in divergent Romani (Gypsy) groups descended from a small founder population—the Vlax, or Danubian Roma. The disorder was first described in affected families from Bulgaria (Kalaydjieva et al. 1996) and was subsequently diagnosed in families in Italy (Merlini et al. 1998), Slovenia (Butinar et al. 1999), Germany (Baethmann et al. 1998), Spain (Colomer et al. 2000), France, and Rumania. HMSNL is an early-onset peripheral neuropathy that progresses to severe disability in adulthood. Clinically, it presents with muscle weakness and wasting, tendon areflexia, skeletal and foot deformities, sensory loss affecting all modalities, and severe reduction in nerve conduction velocities (Baethmann et al. 1998; Kalaydjieva et al. 1998; Merlini et al. 1998; Butinar et al. 1999). Neural deafness develops during the second or third decade of life, with abnormalities in the brain-stem auditory-evoked potentials suggesting involvement of the entire tract, including the central auditory pathways (Kalaydjieva et al. 1998; Butinar et al. 1999). The neuropathologic observations in HMSNL (Baethmann et al. 1998; Kalaydjieva et al. 1998; Merlini et al. 1998; Butinar et al. 1999) include Schwann-cell dysfunction, which is manifested by hypomyelination and demyelination/remyelination, failure of compaction of the innermost myelin lamellae, and poor hypertrophic response (onion-bulb formation) to the demyelination process. At the same time, axonal involvement is documented by early, severe, and progressive axonal loss and by the presence of curvilinear intra-axonal inclusions that are similar to those seen in the dying-back
type of distal axonopathy in experimental vitamin E deficiency.

Findings from a number of recent clinical and experimental studies (Kilian et al. 1996; Garcia et al. 1998; Robertson et al. 1999; Sahenk 1999; Sancho et al. 1999) of the common autosomal dominant demyelinating forms of Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT) disease have indicated that the neurological deficit in demyelinating neuropathies is related to axonal loss, rather than to demyelination per se. The neuropathologic features of HMSNL make it impossible to attribute the primary defect to either Schwann cells or neurons, and they strongly suggest that impairment of Schwann cell–axonal interaction is a major component of the pathogenesis of this disease. The molecular basis of HMSNL may thus be of relevance to the general understanding of the pathogenetic mechanisms and causes of disability in demyelinating neuropathies.

The disease gene was mapped to a 3-cM interval on 8q24.3, where closely related disease haplotypes and strong linkage-disequilibrium values suggested a single founder mutation (Kalaydjieva et al. 1996). Similar polymorphic haplotypes were subsequently identified in HMSNL chromosomes in affected families across Europe, supporting the assumption of genetic homogeneity and founder effect (Chandler et al. 2000). We now report the identification of the HMSNL gene and the founder mutation causing the disease.

Subjects and Methods

Physical Mapping of the HMSNL Region

A contig of genomic clones spanning the HMSNL interval was assembled by screening of bacterial-artificial-chromosome (BAC) and PAC libraries for the known sequence-tagged sites (STSs) in the region and for the end sequences of clones identified in our previous rounds of library screening. The screening was performed by means of PCR amplification (Research Genetics Human BAC DNA Pools, California Institute of Technology, B&C libraries, cell line 978K) or filter hybridization (PAC library #709, RPCI 6, Roswell Park Cancer Institute; created by Pieter de Jonge and obtained through the German Human Genome Project Center, Max Planck Institute). Clone orientation was obtained by STS content mapping and by halo-FISH (Raap and Wiegant 1994). Nonoverlapping clone ends were used as STSs in the next round of library walking.

Refined Genetic Mapping

For the identification of new polymorphic microsatellites, BAC and PAC contig clones were digested with frequent cutter restriction endonucleases and were shotgun cloned into pBluescript. A replica membrane of the gridded colonies was hybridized with a cocktail of $^{32}$P-ATP end-labeled di-/tri-/tetranucleotide repeat sequences, and positive clones were sequenced. Markers (D8S558, D8S259, D8S378, and D8S256) available from public databases were PCR amplified with the use of fluorescently labeled primers (Research Genetics map pair set), were length-separated on a 373 XL DNA analyzer (PE Biosystems), and were analyzed using GENOTyper software (PE Biosystems). AFM116y8 and all newly identified microsatellites were analyzed through incorporation of $^{32}$P-dCTP into the PCR product during amplification. The PCR primers for the newly identified markers were those described by Chandler et al. (2000). Vertical-gel electrophoresis, which was performed in a Hoeffer Pokerface II apparatus, was followed by autoradiography for 2–12 h. Allele calling was performed manually. Haplotypes were constructed manually and were examined for recent and historical recombinations. The marker positions were determined by STS content mapping of the contig clones.

A total of 174 individuals were genotyped for 24 markers in the HMSNL region. Informed consent was obtained from all participants in the study.

Sequencing

BAC/PAC DNA isolation and purification with the QIAfilter Plasmid Midi kits were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocols (Qiagen). End sequencing was performed using universal primers T7 and SP6.

Sequence analysis of the WISP1 and NDRG1 genes included all coding regions and $\geq$100 bp of flanking intronic sequences. PCR amplification was performed using the primers shown in table 1. The PCR products were purified with Qiagen QIAquick spin columns. Both strands were sequenced with the same primers used for PCR amplification.

Sequencing of end clones and PCR products was performed using Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit reagents (PE Biosystems). The reactions were run on an ABI 377 sequencer (PE Biosystems) and were analyzed using Sequence Navigator software, version 1.0.1.

For large-scale genomic sequencing, BAC/PAC DNA was isolated using the double-acetate method (Birnboim and Doly 1979). The closed-circle band was sonicated, and 1.5–2-kb fragments were size-selected by agarose electrophoresis and were ligated into the Smal site of the M13mp18 vector. M13 templates were prepared by means of the Triton method (Mardis 1994). Shotgun sequencing was performed using ThermoSequenase (Amersham) and Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit chemistry (PE Biosystems). Data
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCR Primers for Sequencing Analysis of NDRG1 and WISP1</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forward</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WISP1:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

were collected using ABI 377 automated sequencers and were assembled with the program PHRAP sequence-assembly program (University of Washington Genome Center).

**Computational Analysis**

The genomic-sequence data were analyzed using the RUMMAGE-DP program (Genome Sequencing Centre, Institute of Molecular Biotechnology, Jena, Germany), which combines 25 different programs (references available at the Web site of the Genome Sequencing Centre, Institute of Molecular Biotechnology, Jena, Germany), including five programs for exon prediction; RepeatMasker, for tagging repetitive sequences; programs for prediction of CpG islands; and homology searches using BLAST, version 1.4, and FASTA, version 2.0. Recognition of promoter regions and transcription-start positions was performed using both Ghosh/Prestridge (TSSG) and Wigender (TSSW) motif databases.

**Screening for the R148X Mutation**

Exon 7 of NDRG1 was PCR amplified as a 176-bp product, by use of the following primers: 5′-AGGCTC-
CCGTCACCTGTCG-3′ (forward) and 5′-GTCTCGTTC-CTGATCTAAAATG3′ (reverse). Restriction digests were performed for 4 h at 65°C in a mix containing 1 × TaqI buffer, 10 μl PCR product, and 10 U TaqI (Promega). The restriction products (lengths 104 bp and 72 bp) were separated in 4% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide and were visualized under UV light.

**Expression Studies**

S.A.G.E. library data were obtained through screening of our own libraries constructed from peripheral nerve, glioblastoma, and fetal-brain RNA (Michiels et al. 1999) and through searching of S.A.G.E. public databases. The sequence of the NDRG1 S.A.G.E. tag is GGACTTTC-G. Expression levels are given as the number of transcript tags/10⁶ transcripts in the S.A.G.E. library. Northern blot analysis was conducted on RNA extracted, according to standard protocols, from total peripheral nerve and from cultured nonmyelinating Schwann cells and hNT2 cells (Sambrook et al. 1989). Reverse transcriptase–PCR (RT-PCR) of NDRG1 from RNA derived from the same sources mentioned above was performed using primers 5′-AAGTCACAGAGTGTTGG-3′ (forward) and 5′-GAAGTGATTGAGGCACGG-3′ (reverse). The 189-bp products were run on a 1% agarose gel in 1 × Tris-borate EDTA, were blotted, and were hybridized with the PCR product obtained with the same primers. Analysis of tissue-specific transcripts was performed by 5′ rapid amplification of cDNA ends (5′-RACE) and by RT-PCR of two fragments spanning the entire coding region of NDRG1. 5′-RACE (Boehringer Mannheim 5′/
3’ RACE kit) was performed on total RNA from human fetal brain, adult peripheral nerve, and lymphocytes, by use of the following NDRG1-specific primers: NDRG1-R1 (5′-ACACAGCTGACGTAAG-3′), for the reverse-transcription step; and NDRG1-R2 (5′-CACGAGCCATGTAAGTCT-3′) and NDRG1-R3 (5′-ATGTCTGTGCTCTCTGACATC-3′), for the 3′RACE reactions. The products were tested on agarose gels and were sequenced with primer NDRG1-R3. One-step RT-PCR was performed on the same sources of RNA as was 5′RACE, by use of the following two primer pairs: NDRG1 5′ UTR-F (5′-GAAGGTCTGTCGCCAGTTCACC-3′) and NDRG1 exon 4-R (5′-GTGATCTCCTGGACATC-3′), and NDRG1 exon 4-F (5′-GAGGACATGCAAGGAGATC-3′) and NDRG1 exon 15-R (5′-CCAGGGCTGTGCGGGGACC-3′).

Radiation-Hybrid Mapping

The chromosomal location of NDRG2 was determined by radiation-hybrid (RH) mapping. PCR screening of the GeneBridge RH panel was performed using primers selected from the unique 3′ UTR sequence of KIAA1248, showing no homology to NDRG1 or NDRG3. The primer sequences were as follows: NDRG2RH-F2 (5′-CTGGGGCTCCATTCACCA-3′) and NDRG2RH-R2 (5′-AGCCAGCCCAAGGCTTAGCTC-3′). The results were submitted to the RH server of the Whitehead Institute/MIT Center for Genome Research, for calculation.

Results

Physical and Refined Genetic Mapping

We have assembled a 1-Mb contig of genomic BAC, PAC, and cosmid clones, with a minimum tiling path shown in figure 1. The contig spans the entire HMSN1 region, as defined by the recombinations identified in the initial study (Kalaydjieva et al. 1996). The contig was anchored to the four known markers in this region on 8q24, following the order provided by public databases (cen-D8S529/D8S378-AMF116yh8-D8S256-tel). Our subsequent findings have shown the correct orientation to be as follows: cen-AMF116yh8-D8S378-D8S529-D8S256-tel. The contig clones were used for physical mapping of expressed-sequence tags (ESTs) roughly positioned in this region and for identification of new polymorphic markers.

Refined genetic mapping included 174 individuals (60 patients and 114 unaffected relatives) from seven European countries; the individuals were genotyped for 24 polymorphic microsatellites, 19 of which were identified in our study (Chandler et al. 2000). Ten recombinant haplotypes, whose distribution differed between disease chromosomes originating from the diverse Romani groups, helped to narrow the HMSN1 region (fig. 1b).

In five of the seven centromeric recombinations (fig. 1b, bottom), the breakpoints mapped to the same 90-kb interval between markers pJ10 and 458b14, thus placing the centromeric boundary of the region at pJ10. Haplotype analysis of the telomeric recombinants placed the distal boundary at marker 369CA3 (fig. 1b, right).

Within the pJ10–369CA3 interval, all HMSN1 chromosomes shared an identical haplotype for markers 458a13-458b57-369a89. This haplotype was not found in any of the 88 normal chromosomes studied. Marker 458b14 presented with three different alleles in the disease chromosomes; however, on the basis of the conserved flanking haplotypes, this variation was assumed to result from microsatellite mutations (similar to those observed in 339CA2, 189CA17, and, especially, D8S378; fig. 1b, green boxes).

The critical HMSN1 gene interval was thus defined, on the basis of recombination and homozygosity mapping, as being located between newly identified markers pJ10 and 369CA3. The entire region was contained in three overlapping genomic clones—PAC 709A2498Q2 and BACs 458A3 (GenBank accession number AF192304) and 369M3 (GenBank accession number AF186190) (fig. 1). Large-scale sequencing of these clones identified the final exons of thyroglobulin in PAC 709A2498Q2 and the full length of two known genes: the Wnt1-inducible signaling protein 1, WISP1 (Pennica et al. 1998), in BAC 458A3, and NDRG1 (aliases RTP, NDR1, DRG1, and CAP43) (Kokame et al. 1996; Van Belzen et al. 1997; Kurdistanti et al. 1998; Zhou et al. 1998; Xu et al. 1999) in BACs 458A3 and 369M3 (fig. 1a). WISP1 and NDRG1 are located tail to tail, in opposite orientations, and are separated by a small distance of ~38 kb. The WISP1 gene spans ~38 kb of genomic DNA, with the coding regions split into five exons. NDRG1 is spread over 60 kb of genomic DNA and consists of 16 exons, including an untranslated first exon (fig. 2).

The HMSN1 Mutation

The search for the mutation was conducted by sequencing of the untranslated and promoter regions, all exons, and ~100 nucleotides of the flanking introns of WISP1 and NDRG1 in a panel of DNA samples from patients with HMSN1 and unaffected controls from the same population.

This analysis revealed a total of 13 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the two genes (table 2); only one of the 13 SNPs was in WISP1. The difference is due to the fact that sequence variation in NDRG1 was investigated more extensively in individuals of diverse ethnic background, whereas WISP1 was analyzed only in
Figure 1  
a. Diagram of the BAC, PAC, and cosmid (HCT) clone contig of the HMSNL region. Known genes are represented by arrows pointing in the direction of their transcription. 
b. Positions of polymorphic microsatellites used to construct haplotypes on disease chromosomes. The HMSNL critical interval is flanked by markers pJ10 and 369CA3 and contains the WISP1 and NDRG1 genes.
the Roma. So far, our results have shown that SNPs in the \textit{NDRG1} gene occur with a frequency of \(\geq 1/423\) nucleotides. In patients with HMSNL, the \textit{WISP1} gene sequence was identical to the published wild-type sequence.

Analysis of \textit{NDRG1} in individuals affected with HMSNL identified a C\(\rightarrow\)T transition in exon 7, at nucleotide position 564 (according to the numbering of the \textit{reducing agents and tunicamycin-responsive protein} (RTP) sequence [GenBank accession number D87953]). This substitution results in the replacement of arginine by a translation-termination signal at codon position 148 (fig. 2). The \textit{R148X} mutation was found in the homozygous state in all 60 patients with HMSNL that were included in the present study.

The C\(\rightarrow\)T substitution abolished a \textit{TaqI} site, and a restriction assay was designed as a screening test for the \textit{R148X} mutation. In families with HMSNL, the mutation segregated in 100% agreement with the carrier status predicted by haplotype analysis. Analysis of 69 additional unaffected members of the extended kindred (the Lom pedigree) in which the disease was first described resulted in the detection of 24 carriers.

Screening for the \textit{R148X} mutation also included 10 Romani families from Rumania who had unspecified autosomal recessive peripheral neuropathies. The \textit{R148X} mutation was found in six of these families, in whom it cosegregated with the disease phenotype and occurred in the homozygous state in the affected patients.

We did not find the \textit{R148X} mutation among 101 unrelated unaffected control individuals, including 68 non-
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Nucleotide Position</th>
<th>Ethnic Background</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T/G</td>
<td>5' UTR, nt 15</td>
<td>Afro-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>5' UTR, nt 3</td>
<td>Dutch; Roma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>Intronic, IVS1+48</td>
<td>Dutch; Roma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>Intronic, IVS2−5</td>
<td>Afro-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>Intronic, IVS6−33</td>
<td>Afro-American; Dutch; Roma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>Intronic, IVS10 + 83</td>
<td>Dutch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>Intronic, IVS10−50</td>
<td>Afro-American; Dutch; Roma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>Intronic, IVS11−7</td>
<td>Roma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/G (293Pro→Pro)</td>
<td>Exon 14, 98γ</td>
<td>Afro-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>Intronic, IVS14−124</td>
<td>Afro-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>3' UTR, 1395γ</td>
<td>Afro-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/T (307Asn→Asn)</td>
<td>Exon 5, 1009d</td>
<td>Roma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Designated as proposed by Antonarkis et al. (1998), with the positive IVS (intronic) numbers starting from the G of the donor-site invariant GT and with the negative IVS numbers starting from the G of the acceptor-site invariant AG. nt = nucleotide position.

* Relative to NDRG1 5' UTR novel sequence (GenBank accession number AF230380).

* Relative to mRNA for RTP (GenBank accession number D87953).

* Relative to mRNA WISP1 (GenBank accession number AF100779).

Romani Bulgarians and 33 Roma who originate from the same groups as do the patients with HMSNL but who belong to kindreds with other genetic disorders.

The NDRG Family

NDRG1 is a known gene that has previously been identified in several independent in vitro studies of human cell lines (Kokame et al. 1996; Van Belzen et al. 1997; Kurdistani et al. 1998; Zhou et al. 1998; Xu et al. 1999). The encoded protein is highly conserved in evolution (Kräuter-Canham et al. 1997; Shimono et al. 1999; Yamauchi et al. 1999). The genomic organization of NDRG1, as revealed in the present study (fig. 2), is also conserved and is closely related to that of the mouse gene (Shimono et al. 1999).

The results of previous experiments (Van Belzen et al. 1997; Piquemal et al. 1999) have suggested that NDRG1 is a unique gene; however, a recent study (Van Belzen et al. 1997; Piquemal et al. 1999) has demonstrated the existence of an Ndr gene family in the mouse. Since the existence of homologous genes in humans could affect the specificity and, hence, the reliability of expression studies, we have used the novel mouse sequences to search the human-genome databases. This search has confirmed the existence of related human genes, which we will refer to as NDRG2 and NDRG3, respectively, for the genes homologous to mouse Ndr2 and Ndr3.

NDRG2 was found to be represented by 147 ESTs and two cDNA sequences. To determine its chromosomal localization, we have performed RH mapping with use of the GeneBridge panel. NDRG2 was localized to chromosome 14q11.2, at 6.72 cR from D14S264, with LOD score = 15.0.

The NDRG3 gene was represented by 86 ESTs and a genomic clone from chromosome 20q11.21-q11.23. This provisional chromosomal localization was confirmed by electronic PCR. In the same genomic clone, this search identified four STSs (three STSs flanking NDRG3 and one located in its 3' UTR) that have also been independently localized to chromosome 20 by means of RH mapping.

The BLAST comparison showed considerable homology between the three human NDR genes, with greater divergence in the terminal parts of the sequences. At the protein level, the identity (similarity) is 54% (70%) between NDRG1 and NDRG2, 67% (81%) between NDRG1 and NDRG3, and 58% (71%) between NDRG2 and NDRG3. These values are very similar to the percent homology reported for the members of the mouse Ndr family (Okuda and Kondoh 1999). Both mouse Ndr2 and Ndr3 (Okuda and Kondoh 1999) and human NDRG2 and NDRG3 lack the highly hydrophilic amino-acid-sequence motif (GTRSRSHTSE) that is typical of NDRG1 and that is repeated three times at its C-terminus.

Expression Analysis of NDRG1

The ubiquitous expression of NDRG1 is documented by 343 entries in the UniGene cluster (GenBank accession number Hs 75789) and by previous studies (Kokame et al. 1996; Van Belzen et al. 1997; Kurdistani et...
al. 1998; Zhou et al. 1998; Piquemal et al. 1999; Xu et al. 1999) using various experimental systems. To date, no information on the peripheral nervous system has been published.

To obtain a quantitative comparison of the levels of NDRG1 expression in different tissues, we have performed S.A.G.E. library screening and database searches. The following results, presented as the number of transcript tags/10^6 transcripts in the S.A.G.E. library, were obtained: peripheral nerve, 400; colorectal cancer (HCT116), 213; glioblastoma multiforme libraries, 210 and 99; brain, 146; normal colon and some primary colon tumors, 81–105; and prostate cancer 139 and 158. The aforementioned values indicate that NDRG1 is abundantly expressed in peripheral nerve, where the levels of expression are significantly in excess of those in the other tissues examined.

Northern blot analysis comparing total adult peripheral nerve RNA, cultured nonmyelinating Schwann cells, and hNT2 cells, which can be induced to neuronal differentiation, showed strong signal in total peripheral nerve and Schwann cells. Expression was lower in undifferentiated hNT2 cells, and no signal was obtained in differentiated hNT. In view of the high sequence homology between the genes of the NDRG family and the possibility of cross-hybridization, these results were verified and confirmed by RT-PCR using NDRG1-specific primers (fig. 3). Our preliminary immunocytochemistry findings in peripheral nerve point to NDRG1 localization in the Schwann-cell cytoplasm, with no evidence of axonal expression (not shown).

We have used 5′-RACE and RT-PCR to check for the presence of tissue-specific NDRG1 transcripts in peripheral nerve, fetal brain, and lymphocytes. The results of 5′-RACE did not provide evidence of different transcription-start sites: these experiments identified a short (15-nucleotide) novel additional sequence immediately upstream of the 5′ UTR of the longest published NDRG1 sequence (Kokame et al. 1996), which, however, was common to all three transcripts. RT-PCR and, subsequently, sequencing of the entire coding region of NDRG1 in peripheral nerve, fetal brain, and lymphocytes revealed a single transcript, identical to the published cDNA sequence, with no evidence of tissue-specific alternatively spliced forms.

Discussion

The heterogeneous category of hereditary motor and sensory neuropathies consists of a large number of clinically and genetically distinct conditions (recently reviewed in Keller and Chance [1999] and Schenone and Mancardi [1999]), including autosomal recessive forms, some of which have been placed on the human genetic map (Ben Othmane et al. 1993; Bolino et al. 1996; Casaubon et al. 1996; LeGuern et al. 1996; Bouhouche et al. 1999). Relative to autosomal dominant CMT disease, these conditions are rare. However, they are clinically more severe (Harding and Thomas 1980) and are less likely to result from mutations in structural myelin proteins; therefore, understanding their genetic basis may provide insight into hitherto unknown molecular mechanisms of peripheral-nervous-system development and axon-glia interactions. The genetic heterogeneity of autosomal recessive peripheral neuropathies and the limited number and size of families affected by any single disorder have presented a major obstacle to molecular research and gene identification. In the case of HMSNL, positional cloning was facilitated by the substantial number of patients identified over a short period of time after the initial description of the disease as well as by the history of the disease-causing mutation. HMSNL occurs in an ethnic group that is marginalized by most health-care systems; therefore, ascertainment can be predicted to be limited. The number of affected individuals in whom a diagnosis has already been made suggests that the disease is relatively common and may be the prevalent form of peripheral neuropathy among the Roma. On the other hand, the origin of the HMSNL mutation has been estimated to pre-date the arrival of the proto-Roma in Europe (Kalaydjieva et al. 1996), indicating that the mutation was present in the ancestral population before it split into numerous small groups separated by geographic dispersal, social pressures, and rules of endogamy. The independent evolution and diversification of disease haplotypes in the different Ro-

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3** RT-PCR using specific NDRG1 primers in hNT2 cells that are not differentiated (lane 1), hNT2 cells induced to neuronal differentiation (lane 2), in vitro cultured nonmyelinating Schwann cells (lane 3), total adult peripheral nerve (lane 4), and fetal brain (lane 5). NDRG1 specificity was confirmed by transfer of the RT-PCR products to a membrane and by back-hybridization with the PCR product.
mani groups across Europe have provided a powerful tool for the refined mapping of the HMSNL gene.

The molecular defect shared by all affected individuals was found to be a truncating mutation in NDRG1. This gene encodes a highly conserved protein with a high degree of homology to the proteins in other species. The amino acid similarity to the Drosophila protein is 44%; to sunflower, 48% (Kräuter-Canham et al. 1997); to rat Bdm1, 75% (Yamauchi et al. 1999); and to mouse Ndrl, 96% (Shimono et al. 1999). These proteins show no homology to known motifs, except for a putative phosphopantetheine-binding site (Kokame et al. 1996; Van Belzen et al. 1997; Piquemal et al. 1999) and a 46% similarity to the ligand-binding domain of the inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate receptor (Kräuter-Canham et al. 1997).

The evolutionary conservation of NDRG1-related proteins points to an important biological role. The previously proposed functions of human NDRG1 are based on studies of non-neural tissues. NDRG1 has been shown to be repressed in cell transformation (Van Belzen et al. 1997; Kurdistani et al. 1998) and up-regulated in growth-arrested differentiating cells (Van Belzen et al. 1997; Kurdistani et al. 1998; Piquemal et al. 1999) and under conditions of cellular stress (Kokame et al. 1996; Zhou et al. 1998; Xu et al. 1999). Inducing agents include p53 (Kurdistani et al. 1998), increased intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ and forskolin (Zhou et al. 1998), retinoic acid, and vitamin D (Piquemal et al. 1999). NDRG1 expression has been shown to cycle with cell division (Kurdistani et al. 1998), and studies of the intracellular localization of the protein suggest translocation between the cytoplasm and the nucleus (Van Belzen et al. 1997; Kurdistani et al. 1998; Piquemal et al. 1999). A role as a developmental gene has been documented for Ndrl, which, in the mouse embryo, is repressed by N-myc and is up-regulated in cells committed to terminal differentiation (Shimono et al. 1999). The accumulated data suggest involvement in growth arrest and cell differentiation during development and in the maintenance of the differentiated state in the adult, possibly as a signaling protein shuttling between the cytoplasm and the nucleus.

In terms of patterns of expression and proposed general functions, NDRG1 clearly resembles PMP22/gas3. PMP22 is also widely expressed in embryonic and adult tissues (Patel et al. 1992; Baechner et al. 1995) and is believed to be involved in growth arrest and cell differentiation (Manfioletti et al. 1990; Zoidl et al. 1997). The highest levels of expression are found in the myelinating Schwann cell, where PMP22 is a component of compact myelin (Snipes et al. 1992). PMP22 is now known to be responsible for CMT type 1A, hereditary neuropathy with liability to pressure palsies, and some forms of Dejerine-Sottas syndrome in humans (Patel et al. 1992; Timmerman et al. 1992; Valentijn et al. 1992; Chance et al. 1993; Roa et al. 1993), and for naturally occurring models of peripheral neuropathy in the mouse (Suter et al. 1992a, 1992b). A number of studies of affected humans as well as of natural and transgenic rodent models have pointed to the complex pathogenesis of these disorders where altered myelin stability and demyelination are only one aspect. The observed significant phenotypic changes in both Schwann cells and axons (Hanemann et al. 1997; Garcia et al. 1998; Sahenk 1999; Sancho et al. 1999; Robertson et al. 1999) have suggested that, in addition to its function as a myelin protein, PMP22 plays a role in early peripheral-nervous-system development and differentiation and in Schwann cell–axonal interactions (reviewed in Naef and Suter 1998).

Axons and glia in the peripheral nervous system are involved in a most complex system of communication, the integrity of which is essential for the differentiation, survival, and normal function of both types of cells (Snipes and Suter 1994; Jessen and M irsky 1998, 1999). Both the involvement of NDRG1 in these mechanisms and a possible functional link to PMP22 remain to be investigated in functional studies as well as through the identification of NDRG1 mutations in other peripheral neuropathies. The high levels of NDRG1 expression in peripheral nerve and, specifically, in the Schwann cell, together with the characteristics of the HMSNL phenotype, point to a possible involvement of NDRG1 in Schwann-cell differentiation and the signaling necessary for axonal survival. The role of NDRG1 in growth arrest and cell differentiation, proposed for other tissues, may thus be conserved in the peripheral nervous system and may be related to the complex developmental transitions marking the stages of differentiation of the Schwann-cell lineage and Schwann cell–axonal interactions (Jessen and Mirsky 1998, 1999). At the same time, the abundant expression in adult peripheral nerve and the putative phosphopantetheine-binding domain present in the NDRG1 protein point to its possible dual role and additional involvement in the lipid biosynthetic pathways operating in the myelinating Schwann cell.
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